

Article

Social Capital in a Diverse Malaysia: Enhancing Bonding, Bridging, and Linking for Greater Social Cohesion

Zaini Othman

Institute of Ethnic Studies, National University of Malaysia,
43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author: znothman@ukm.edu.my

Received: 4 December 2024

Accepted: 6 February 2025

Abstract: This article explores the role of social capital in fostering social cohesion within Malaysia's multicultural society, focusing on three key dimensions: bonding, bridging, and linking social capital. The study aims to understand how these forms contribute to community resilience, inclusive development, and national unity. Using a qualitative approach, the research incorporates a literature review, case studies, and empirical evidence. Bonding social capital is examined through its role in strengthening intra-group ties, preserving cultural identity, and providing social support. Bridging social capital is assessed in terms of its potential to encourage inter-ethnic cooperation, while linking social capital is analysed for its capacity to connect marginalized groups to institutional resources, thus promoting social mobility. The findings highlight the importance of nurturing all three types of social capital to address socio-economic disparities and historical divisions. The article also identifies barriers to effective social capital development, including entrenched ethnic divisions and economic inequality. In conclusion, the study advocates for targeted policy interventions to enhance social capital, ultimately fostering greater social cohesion and equity in Malaysia.

Keywords: Social capital; bonding; bridging; linking; Malaysia

Introduction

Social capital refers to the networks, relationships, and norms that facilitate cooperation and collective action within a society. It plays a pivotal role in shaping social interactions and fostering community resilience, with significant implications for social and economic development (Putnam, 1995; Bourdieu, 1986). In Malaysia, a country marked by rich ethnic diversity, social capital serves as a crucial framework for understanding social cohesion and integration across its varied communities.

Malaysia's population is composed of a mosaic of ethnic groups, including Malays, Chinese, Indians, and indigenous peoples, each contributing uniquely to the nation's cultural fabric. This diversity presents both opportunities and challenges in building a unified society. While social capital has the potential to bridge divides and foster collaboration, it is necessary to critically examine how these dynamics play out within the Malaysian context. Existing research often overlooks how social capital functions in multi-ethnic societies like Malaysia, highlighting a gap this article seeks to address.

This article will explore the three dimensions of social capital bonding, bridging, and linking and their applications in Malaysia. By analysing how these forms of social capital operate, we aim to identify the mechanisms that foster social cohesion, strengthen community ties, and create connections between individuals and institutions. Despite growing interest in social capital, the existing literature on its role in

Malaysia is fragmented, with limited attention to the interplay between these dimensions in the country's multicultural context. This gap hinders a comprehensive understanding of how social capital can address Malaysia's unique social challenges.

Additionally, this article will explore the role of social capital in addressing socio-economic disparities and historical grievances that continue to influence inter-group relations. Recent trends, including calls for national unity amid economic challenges, highlight the urgency of this discourse. The aim is to provide a comprehensive analysis of social capital's potential to foster inclusivity, bridge ethnic divides, and enhance resilience in Malaysia's diverse society. Ultimately, this article emphasizes the importance of nurturing social capital as a means to create a more inclusive, equitable, and resilient Malaysia.

Literature Review

Social capital has been extensively studied in various global contexts, but its application in Malaysia a country with a diverse ethnic and cultural landscape remains relatively underexplored. Generally, social capital refers to the networks, norms, and trust that enable individuals to collaborate for mutual benefit (Putnam, 2000). In the Malaysian context, social capital is often discussed in terms of three dimensions: bonding, bridging, and linking. Bonding social capital refers to strong intra-group ties within homogeneous communities, while bridging connects individuals across different social groups to foster inter-group trust and cooperation. Linking social capital extends these networks to institutional and resource-based connections that enable social and economic mobility.

Several studies have emphasized the role of social capital in promoting social cohesion in Malaysia. For example, Leenders (2014) highlighted the importance of bonding social capital in preserving ethnic cultural identities and providing emotional support during crises. Bridging social capital has also been recognized for fostering inter-ethnic dialogue and cooperation, especially in urban areas (Sharifah & Norma, 2022). These findings suggest that social capital plays a key role in easing ethnic tensions and facilitating cooperation in Malaysia's multi-ethnic society.

However, a notable gap in the literature is the lack of research on how the three forms of social capital interact within the Malaysian context. Most studies have examined each dimension in isolation, without exploring their intersections. For instance, while bridging social capital is often linked to ethnic relations, there is little exploration of how bonding social capital particularly when rooted in strong ethnic or religious affiliations—can either support or impede bridging efforts. Additionally, the role of linking social capital in promoting mobility for marginalized groups, such as the rural poor and indigenous communities, remains underexplored (Najib Ahmad Marzuki et al., 2014). Despite strong bonding and bridging ties within these communities, accessing institutional resources remains a significant challenge.

Another limitation in existing research is the insufficient attention to how historical grievances and socio-economic disparities influence social capital development. Much of the literature focuses on the benefits of social capital without fully considering the impact of deep-rooted ethnic tensions, colonial legacies, and socio-economic inequalities. For instance, the 1969 race riots continue to affect inter-group relations and trust in Malaysia (Othman & Sharif, 2018). Furthermore, while social capital is often seen as a tool for collective action, its unequal distribution across ethnic and regional groups limits its potential for promoting inclusivity and equality.

Scholars such as Shamsul A.B. (2001) and Embong (2002) have stressed the importance of bonding and bridging capital in maintaining cultural continuity and fostering inter-ethnic cooperation, respectively. Mansor (2021) also emphasized the significance of linking social capital, particularly in connecting marginalized communities to state resources. Despite these contributions, research on how these forms of social capital interact to foster broader social cohesion remains limited.

This article aims to address these gaps by examining how bonding, bridging, and linking social capital work together within Malaysia's diverse society. It will not only explore the roles of these dimensions but also investigate how historical and socio-economic factors shape their effectiveness. By focusing on marginalized communities and considering the socio-political context, the research seeks to offer a more comprehensive understanding of social capital's role in promoting social cohesion and resilience in Malaysia.

While previous studies have provided valuable insights into the individual dimensions of social capital, this article proposes an integrated framework. By addressing the limitations of past research and taking into account Malaysia's unique historical and socio-economic conditions, it aims to offer a deeper understanding of how social capital can foster inclusivity, equity, and resilience in a multi-ethnic society.

Methodology

This article employs a qualitative research methodology to examine the role of social capital in promoting social cohesion within Malaysian society. The study focuses on three key dimensions of social capital bonding, bridging, and linking using secondary data sources, including academic literature, case studies, government reports, NGO publications, and media articles. This approach offers a comprehensive understanding of how these forms of social capital contribute to community resilience, inclusive development, and national unity in Malaysia's multicultural context (Fauziah Ibrahim et al., 2024).

The research began with a detailed literature review, engaging with foundational works on social capital by scholars such as Putnam (2000), Lin (2001), and Woolcock (2001). This review provided a theoretical framework for studying social capital in multicultural contexts and highlighted a gap in research: the lack of studies on the interaction between bonding, bridging, and linking social capital in Malaysia. Addressing this gap is a central aim of the article.

To supplement the theoretical analysis, case studies were included to demonstrate how these three forms of social capital are applied in Malaysia. The case studies were selected based on the following criteria: (i) Relevance each case clearly demonstrates the application of one or more forms of social capital; (ii) Diversity the case studies reflect Malaysia's ethnic and regional diversity, encompassing both urban and rural settings and multiple ethnic groups, such as Malays, Chinese, Indians, and indigenous peoples (Orang Asli); (iii) Empirical Evidence cases were chosen for their availability of reliable, empirical data, ensuring methodological rigor and robustness.

The data for this study were sourced from four main categories: (i) Academic Journals providing both theoretical and empirical insights into social capital in multicultural societies; (ii) Government Reports offering statistical data on community development programs and their impact on social cohesion; (iii) NGO Publications containing reports and case studies on grassroots community engagement, inter-ethnic cooperation, and social cohesion; (iv) Media Sources including news articles and reports on community responses to events like the COVID-19 pandemic, illustrating social capital's role in times of crisis.

A thematic analysis was used to interpret the data, allowing for the identification of key patterns and themes. This method was selected for its ability to organize complex qualitative data into coherent and meaningful categories. The analysis followed several stages: (i) Familiarization with the Data the researcher reviewed the collected data to identify initial patterns; (ii) Generating Initial Codes specific data points related to bonding, bridging, and linking were identified and coded; (iii) Searching for Themes the coded data were grouped into broader themes, such as "Cultural Identity and Community Support" for bonding, "Inter-Ethnic Collaboration and Inclusivity" for bridging, and "Access to Resources and Institutional Engagement" for linking; (iv) Reviewing Themes themes were refined for coherence and relevance; (v) Defining and Naming Theme search theme was clearly defined to provide a comprehensive understanding of social capital in Malaysia.

This study acknowledges certain limitations, particularly the reliance on secondary data, which may introduce biases due to selective reporting. The use of published materials may also limit insights from marginalized communities. Ethical considerations were addressed by ensuring the representation of diverse ethnic and community groups and by respecting privacy and confidentiality. The findings aim to inform policymakers and community leaders on the effective cultivation of social capital, promoting social cohesion and addressing Malaysia's socio-economic challenges.

The results of this study are intended to guide policymakers, community leaders, and practitioners in cultivating social capital in Malaysia. By understanding the interconnectedness of bonding, bridging, and linking social capital, policymakers can design more inclusive and resilient community development programs that foster social cohesion and address Malaysia's socio-economic challenges.

The Findings and Discussion

1. The Role of Trust and Its Relationship to Social Capital

Trust is a central element in the development of social capital, influencing the bonding, bridging, and linking dimensions within Malaysia's multicultural society. It is essential for social cohesion and cooperation. Trust, particularly within bonding social capital, binds individuals within close-knit communities, fostering mutual support and solidarity. In Malaysia, ethnic and familial ties are crucial to trust-based relationships, cultivated through shared cultural practices and values (IDEAS, 2021). For instance, during crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, bonding social capital enabled local communities to pool resources and support vulnerable members, demonstrating trust's role in collective action (Cheng, 2021).

Bridging social capital, which connects individuals across different ethnic or cultural groups, requires generalized trust belief in the reliability of others, even strangers. In Malaysia, however, historical divisions, such as the May 13, 1969 racial riots, hinder the development of this trust. The legacy of these tensions, coupled with socio-economic inequalities, continues to affect inter-ethnic relations (Zainal & Hasan, 2018). Thus, building trust between ethnic groups is critical. Initiatives like interfaith dialogues and multicultural education aim to overcome these barriers by promoting understanding and empathy, essential for fostering inter-group cooperation and social harmony.

Linking social capital involves trust in institutions, which is particularly important for marginalized communities. Trust in government institutions is often low, especially among groups that feel underrepresented, such as the Bumiputera and Indian communities. Historical policies, such as the New Economic Policy (NEP), which favoured the Malay population, have reinforced ethnic mistrust in government (Mohamed & Ahmad, 2022). This mistrust limits the effectiveness of programs like Bantuan Prihatin Rakyat (BPR) and microfinance initiatives. Building institutional trust is crucial, requiring transparency, accountability, and inclusive governance. Ensuring marginalized groups are heard in policymaking fosters participation and strengthens social capital (Ismail et al., 2019).

Trust plays a significant role in social capital theory, particularly in the works of Putnam, who emphasizes that generalized trust is essential for cohesive societies. In the Malaysian context, fostering trust across ethnic lines is vital for bridging social divides and promoting inclusivity. However, historical grievances and socio-economic disparities present barriers to developing this trust, especially in segregated areas. Despite these challenges, community-based initiatives like the Urban Community Development Programme (UCDP), which encourages collaboration between residents and government, have been successful in building intergroup trust (Mohamed & Ahmad, 2022).

Ultimately, trust is integral to the development of both bridging and linking social capital in Malaysia. Overcoming historical divisions, addressing socio-economic inequalities, and enhancing institutional transparency will be key to fostering a more inclusive and cohesive society. By prioritizing trust-building efforts across communities and institutions, Malaysia can strengthen its social fabric and work towards a more equitable and resilient future.

2. Cultural Significance in Malaysian Society

Bonding social capital is deeply embedded in Malaysian society, particularly within its ethnic communities. For example, among Malays, extended family networks and strong community bonds are culturally significant, seen during religious and cultural celebrations like Hari Raya. Similarly, the Chinese and Indian communities reinforce their cultural identities and collective values through festivals such as the Lunar New Year and Deepavali (Zainuddin & Zainuddin, 2020). These practices provide a sense of belonging and continuity, with strong intra-group ties fostering social support, especially in challenging times.

During crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, bonding social capital proved vital. Community networks mobilized to support vulnerable groups, sharing food and resources, as illustrated by Wong and Wong's (2021) research. This collective action demonstrated how strong community ties can enhance resilience. However, while bonding social capital fosters community strength, it can also create insularity, limiting inter-group cooperation. As Portes (1998) notes, an overreliance on bonding social capital can deepen divisions, posing

challenges in a multicultural society like Malaysia. Therefore, integrating bonding with bridging social capital, which connects diverse groups, is essential for improving overall social cohesion.

Shamsul's (2023) concept of "agree to agree and agree to disagree" offers a useful framework for overcoming these barriers. By promoting both consensus-building ("agree to agree") and respect for differences ("agree to disagree"), this model encourages inter-ethnic cooperation without requiring uniformity. In practice, initiatives such as inter-ethnic dialogues and cultural exchanges embody this principle, allowing different groups to collaborate on shared goals while maintaining respect for their distinct identities. Studies show that bridging activities, such as inter-ethnic dialogue, can build resilience and address societal challenges (Woolcock, 2001). Shamsul's model supports these efforts, providing a practical way to foster understanding across cultural divides. For instance, cultural exchanges and forums rooted in the "agree to disagree" approach allow diverse perspectives to be heard and valued, enhancing mutual respect and social cohesion.

Bonding social capital remains a crucial pillar of Malaysian society, nurturing cultural identity and providing essential social support. However, to overcome its limitations, it should be balanced with efforts to bridge divides between groups. By adopting Shamsul's framework of respect for differences, Malaysia can cultivate more inclusive communities that balance both shared values and cultural diversity. In this way, Malaysia can promote inter-group cooperation while nurturing intra-group ties, strengthening its social fabric. Bonding social capital also plays a significant role in cultural continuity and social mobility. It helps preserve cultural practices and traditions, ensuring that younger generations stay connected to their heritage. In a rapidly modernizing world, this connection becomes increasingly important as globalization threatens local traditions. Bonding social capital also impacts economic opportunities individuals from strong networks often have better access to resources and job referrals, improving community welfare (Lin, 2001). In Malaysia, this dynamic is particularly evident in how ethnic communities help their members navigate educational and employment pathways.

Local organizations, such as the Malaysian Chinese Association (MCA) and Indian cultural associations, exemplify bonding social capital. These groups not only promote cultural heritage but also provide social services, education, and networking opportunities, thereby reinforcing community ties (Zainal, 2020). Similarly, in Sabah and Sarawak, indigenous groups like the Kadazan-Dusun and Iban highlight bonding social capital through cultural practices that strengthen social networks. For example, during festivals like Pesta Kaamatan (Harvest Festival), the Kadazan-Dusun community fosters strong social bonds through shared food and traditions (Zainal & Hasan, 2018). The Iban people's communal living arrangements, where families share resources and responsibilities, further illustrate the power of bonding social capital in creating cohesive communities (Edmund Austrus et al., 2024). Religious institutions also contribute to bonding social capital. Mosques, temples, and churches provide spaces for worship and social gatherings, facilitating charitable activities and community support. These institutions often mobilize members for collective action, reinforcing social bonds within the community.

Sociologically, bonding social capital is a vital framework for understanding intra-group relationships and community strength in Malaysia's diverse society. While it enhances social support and cultural identity, it can also foster exclusivity if overemphasized. As Malaysia continues navigating its multicultural landscape, fostering a balanced approach that integrates bonding with bridging social capital will be key to building resilient and cohesive communities. Shamsul's perspective of "agree to disagree" can help bridge cultural divides, fostering cooperation and enhancing social cohesion across Malaysia's diverse ethnic communities.

3. Social Capital and Economic Inequality

Economic inequality, particularly along ethnic lines, significantly impacts the development of social capital in Malaysia, affecting both bonding and bridging ties. Wealth disparities deepen social divisions, limiting opportunities for inter-group interaction and cooperation (Zainal, 2020). Economically segregated communities often have limited access to education, healthcare, and employment, hindering their ability to form social networks beyond their immediate group. This lack of exposure to diverse perspectives perpetuates stereotypes and deepens inter-group distrust, which undermines efforts to build bridging social capital across ethnic or socioeconomic lines (Zainal & Hasan, 2018).

This creates a cycle of isolation, where marginalized communities remain disconnected and entrenched in inequality. Without opportunities for interaction, these groups struggle to form the bridging ties necessary for mutual understanding and social cohesion. For instance, lower-income or ethnic minority groups are often excluded from economic opportunities and social networks that could help them overcome barriers to success (UCDP, 2019). While the New Economic Policy (NEP) aimed to address ethnic economic disparities, it inadvertently reinforced ethnic segregation, making marginalized communities more inward-looking and strengthening their bonding social capital within their groups while isolating them from broader society.

To counter these challenges, linking social capital initiatives are crucial. Programs that provide access to economic resources, such as microfinance, can help break the cycle of exclusion. Microfinance initiatives like Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia (AIM) connect marginalized individuals to financial resources, improving their livelihoods and facilitating broader community engagement (Siti Zaharah & Maisarah, 2023). These initiatives not only provide financial support but also help individuals build relationships with external networks, fostering both bonding and bridging social capital.

Community development programs that link residents to government agencies and NGOs also play a key role in reducing economic disparities. These programs offer social services, education, and job training, improving individuals' economic status and enabling connections with other communities and institutions. Empowering individuals through these programs fosters both bonding and bridging social capital, helping them overcome economic limitations and contribute to a more integrated society (Ng, 2022).

Despite its potential, social capital has its limitations. While bonding social capital strengthens in-group ties, it can create exclusionary solidarity, where cooperation with other groups diminishes. This can lead to distrust or indifference toward other ethnic groups, hindering the development of bridging social capital necessary for national unity. Additionally, excessive reliance on bonding social capital can reinforce systemic inequalities, as marginalized groups find it harder to penetrate entrenched networks and access cross-ethnic opportunities (Tan, 2020).

Linking social capital can help marginalized groups access institutional resources, but it is also vulnerable to inefficiencies, corruption, or bureaucratic obstacles. In such cases, linking social capital may exacerbate inequality, especially if marginalized communities cannot fully access the benefits of government programs. Therefore, while social capital can address disparities, it must be nurtured with an awareness of its potential to reinforce in-group/out-group dynamics and systemic inequalities.

Economic inequality remains a major barrier to social capital development in Malaysia. Addressing these disparities through microfinance, education programs, and community development can strengthen linking social capital, providing marginalized groups with the resources needed to engage more fully with society. By tackling economic inequality and fostering connections between disadvantaged groups and institutions, Malaysia can build a more inclusive social environment, enhancing both bonding and bridging social capital and fostering greater social cohesion.

4. The Role of Technology and Social Media in Social Capital

Digital technology, particularly social media, plays a crucial role in shaping social capital in Malaysia. Platforms like Facebook, WhatsApp, and Instagram have had a dual impact on both bonding and bridging social capital, connecting individuals and communities to external resources and networks.

On the one hand, social media strengthens bonding social capital by helping individuals maintain connections with family, friends, and local networks, particularly during crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Community groups on platforms like Facebook enabled people to access resources like food aid and medical support. In Malaysia, grassroots movements and NGOs leveraged social media to mobilize communities, share information on government relief programs, and coordinate aid efforts, strengthening intra-group ties through digital communication (Tan, 2021).

Social media also fosters bridging social capital by connecting people from different ethnic, social, and geographical backgrounds, encouraging cross-cultural cooperation. Platforms like the Malaysian Interfaith Network (MIN) use digital tools to promote understanding and collaboration among diverse

communities. Online forums and events create opportunities for inter-ethnic dialogue, allowing people to share experiences and work on common goals, thus promoting social cohesion (Othman & Sharif, 2018).

However, the role of social media in developing social capital is not without challenges. A major concern is the spread of misinformation, which can undermine trust and cooperation—essential components of social capital. In Malaysia, false information on ethnic tensions, politics, and social issues has been disseminated via social media, deepening divides and fostering distrust between communities (Zaini Othman et al., 2021). Additionally, social media often functions as an echo chamber, reinforcing existing beliefs and creating polarized environments. This can limit opportunities for cross-group dialogue and collaboration.

Another issue is the growing reliance on digital communication, which can replace face-to-face interactions. While virtual connections enable weak ties across distances, they lack the depth and quality of in-person relationships. As a result, online bridging social capital is often more superficial and less likely to result in meaningful, lasting relationships across ethnic or cultural lines (UCDP, 2019). The digital divide remains a critical concern. Rural and low-income communities, as well as marginalized ethnic minorities, often lack access to digital platforms or the skills to navigate them. This exclusion deepens social inequalities, preventing these groups from building networks and engaging in online communities, further entrenching disparities.

To address these challenges, policymakers and community leaders must promote digital literacy, inclusivity, and critical thinking. Combating misinformation, encouraging responsible online engagement, and ensuring marginalized communities have access to technology will be vital in strengthening both bonding and bridging social capital in Malaysia. While digital technology and social media offer significant opportunities to enhance social capital, they also present challenges such as misinformation, echo chambers, and the digital divide. To harness the positive potential of these tools, Malaysia must address these issues, fostering digital literacy and inclusivity to strengthen social ties and enhance inter-group cooperation, ultimately building a more united society.

5. Bridging Social Capital: Fostering Inter-Ethnic Cooperation in Malaysia within the "Malaysia Madani" Framework

Bridging social capital refers to networks and connections that link individuals and groups across different social identities, promoting inclusivity and cooperation. Unlike bonding social capital, which strengthens ties within homogeneous groups, bridging social capital encourages interactions between diverse communities, fostering understanding, respect, and collaboration. In Malaysia's multicultural society, where various ethnic groups Malays, Chinese, Indians, and indigenous communities coexist, bridging social capital plays a vital role in enhancing social cohesion and addressing ethnic diversity challenges.

The "Malaysia Madani" initiative, introduced by Prime Minister Anwar Ibrahim, aligns closely with the goals of bridging social capital. The framework, emphasizing unity, justice, compassion, and shared prosperity, provides a contemporary platform for cultivating social cooperation among Malaysia's diverse communities. These principles stress inclusivity and fairness, which are crucial for addressing ethnic tensions and promoting national unity objectives that resonate with bridging social capital's purpose (Shamsul, 2023).

The "Malaysia Madani" framework enhances bridging social capital by advocating for mutual respect and dialogue among different ethnic groups. Initiatives such as the Malaysian Interfaith Network (MIN) illustrate how bridging social capital operates in practice. MIN brings together people from various backgrounds to discuss shared values and goals, promoting interfaith and inter-ethnic dialogue. Programs like these align with the "Malaysia Madani" vision of a harmonious society, where cultural and religious differences are respected and valued. Educational programs like the "Racial Harmony" initiative in schools, which encourage students from different backgrounds to engage in joint activities, also reflect "Malaysia Madani's" commitment to fostering inclusivity from an early age (Jazimin Zakaria, Ahmad Faiz Yaakob, Hussain Yusri Zawawi, 2022).

Public events and cultural festivals, such as Malaysia's National Day celebrations, are important platforms for building bridging social capital. These events bring together diverse ethnic groups, facilitating dialogue and creating opportunities for cross-cultural interactions while celebrating Malaysia's diversity. The

"Unity Parade," where floats representing various cultural traditions unite, embodies the "Malaysia Madani" spirit, reinforcing a shared national identity while celebrating the unique contributions of each community. These events not only showcase diversity but also cultivate lasting relationships among communities, which is essential for bridging social capital (Mansor, 2021).

However, the impact of bridging social capital can be diluted if interactions remain superficial. The "Malaysia Madani" framework encourages deeper engagement and sustained cooperation. Bridging social capital initiatives should move beyond one-off events, fostering long-term relationships based on trust and mutual respect. Programs that encourage continuous collaboration such as community service projects, inter-ethnic dialogues, and joint economic ventures are key to creating lasting change. Government support and policy frameworks aligned with "Malaysia Madani" are crucial for ensuring that these initiatives are well-resourced, allowing inter-ethnic collaboration to thrive beyond temporary engagements.

The "Malaysia Madani" framework also emphasizes justice and economic prosperity for all, which can be advanced through bridging social capital. For example, the "1Malaysia" initiative, launched in 2009, sought to unite Malaysia's diverse communities through joint activities like the "1Malaysia Food Festival." This initiative not only celebrated cultural diversity but also promoted economic exchange, allowing Malaysians to appreciate each other's culinary traditions. Similarly, NGOs like IDEAS use bridging social capital to address shared challenges, such as poverty, education, and healthcare, ensuring that all ethnic groups contribute to and benefit from national development (Global Centre for Pluralism, 2023).

Bridging social capital, within the "Malaysia Madani" framework, extends to building sustainable relationships that foster collective well-being. Grassroots organizations like "MyCare," which mobilized communities to provide aid during the COVID-19 pandemic, exemplify the power of cross-ethnic cooperation in addressing pressing social needs. Such grassroots efforts not only build solidarity in times of crisis but also serve as platforms for long-term cross-community engagement, helping create a resilient society where every group actively contributes to shaping a shared future (Shamsul, 2023).

In sum, bridging social capital, when integrated with the principles of unity, justice, and shared prosperity advocated by "Malaysia Madani," offers a powerful tool for enhancing social cohesion. By promoting inter-ethnic collaboration, mutual respect, and equitable opportunities for all, bridging social capital can contribute to a more inclusive, just, and prosperous society. As Malaysia continues navigating its multicultural landscape, the values embodied in "Malaysia Madani" provide a timely and relevant framework for ensuring that bridging social capital leads to sustainable and meaningful social change. This integration of government vision with social capital theory not only enhances inter-ethnic cooperation but also supports the creation of a harmonious society where diversity is celebrated, and everyone works together for the common good.

The findings of this article align closely with the theoretical frameworks of Putnam, Lin, and Woolcock. Putnam's concept of bonding social capital as a source of support within homogeneous groups is evident in community initiatives, where strong intra-group ties provide vital cultural and emotional support, particularly in marginalized communities. The concept of bridging social capital is reflected in case studies highlighting inter-ethnic collaborations, where community programs unite diverse groups for shared social causes, fostering inclusivity and understanding.

However, the findings deviate from the theoretical models in some respects. For example, while Putnam suggests that bonding social capital can hinder broader social integration by fostering exclusivity, the findings in the Malaysian context show that bonding capital can serve as a foundation for bridging capital when leveraged effectively, particularly in rural areas. This suggests a more nuanced understanding of how these dimensions interact in Malaysia's multicultural context, where ethnic communities often use strong intra-group ties as a basis for broader inter-group cooperation. Additionally, the concept of linking social capital, as proposed by Woolcock, appears limited in practice due to socio-economic disparities and historical tensions, which hinder marginalized communities' access to institutional resources despite efforts to bridge gaps.

This article, therefore, contributes to a deeper understanding of social capital by revealing both the alignment and deviation of empirical findings from established theoretical frameworks. It highlights the

importance of considering local socio-political dynamics when applying global theories to multicultural societies like Malaysia.

Conclusion

In Malaysia's multicultural society, social capital plays a vital role in shaping community dynamics and fostering social cohesion. This article examined the three dimensions of social capital bonding, bridging, and linking and their implications for Malaysia. Key findings highlight that bonding social capital strengthens intra-group ties, supports cultural preservation, and promotes resilience, particularly during crises. Bridging social capital, through inter-ethnic cooperation and dialogue, reduces ethnic tensions and fosters a shared national identity. Linking social capital connects marginalized communities to institutional resources, enabling them to access opportunities and improve their socio-economic status.

The implications for national policy are clear: nurturing all three forms of social capital is crucial for promoting national unity, inclusivity, and social equity. Policymakers should prioritize initiatives that encourage community cooperation, cultural exchange, and greater access to resources for marginalized groups. Additionally, efforts to address historical grievances, socio-economic inequalities, and bureaucratic obstacles are essential to strengthening social capital's impact.

At the community level, building strong networks and trust is key to resilience and collective well-being. Community leaders should create opportunities for dialogue and collaboration while engaging with governmental and non-governmental entities to improve resources and opportunities. Future research should explore the intersection of social capital and socio-economic development in Malaysia, focusing on how these dimensions can foster greater social inclusion and equity. Investigating the role of digital technologies in enhancing bridging and linking social capital could also provide valuable insights for policy and practice.

In conclusion, by strengthening bonding, bridging, and linking social capital, Malaysia can progress toward a more inclusive, resilient, and equitable society, where all citizens contribute to and benefit from national development.

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to express profound gratitude to all parties who contributed to the successful completion of this work, particularly the Institute of Ethnic Studies (KITA), whose generous provision of time and resources facilitated the author's field research and data collection process.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia (AIM). (2020). *Annual report 2020*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: AIM.
- Baker, A. (2018). Cultural competence in Malaysian schools: Strategies for promoting racial harmony. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 7(3), 245-258.
- Baker, J. (2018). Multicultural education in Malaysia: A review of current practices. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(15), 1-10.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241-258). New York, NY: Greenwood.
- Cheng, L. (2021). Social media and community response during the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia. *Journal of Communication Studies*, 32(2), 112-130.
- Chong, W. Y., & Wong, K. H. (2020). The role of NGOs in promoting social cohesion in Malaysia: A case study of IDEAS. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 28(1), 45-61.
- Choon Yu Low, Loh Yoke Link, Kamaruzzaman Abdul Manan, Sakian Josry. (2024). Understanding Resilience Factors among High-Risk Groups Amidst the COVID-19 Endemic in Malacca. *e-Bangi: Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 21 (3), 1-16.
- Edmund Austrus, Zamri Mahamod, & Norhafizah Adnan. (2024). Factors influencing Malays as a second language learning in essay writing: A case study of Iban ethnic students in Sarawak, Malaysia. *e-Bangi: Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 21(4), 16-25.

- Embong, A. R. (2002). Malaysia as a multicultural society. *Macalester International: Malaysia Crossroads of Diversity in Southeast Asia*, 12(10), 37-58.
- Fauziah Ibrahim, et al. (2024). Penggunaan media sosial, kemahiran komunikasi dan penggunaan teknologi maklumat dalam memperkasakan keusahawanan bekas banduan di Malaysia. *e-Bangi: Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 21(4), 1-15.
- Global Centre for Pluralism. (2023). *Global pluralism monitor: Malaysia*. Canada: Global Centre for Pluralism.
- Halina Sendera Mohd. Yakin, Andreas Totu, Siti Aidah Lokin, Suraya Sintang, Nadiawati Mahmood. (2022). Tamu: Its Roles as A Medium of Cultural Identity Preservation Among Sabah Ethnic in The Era of Information Technology and Industrial Revolution 4.0. *e-Bangi: Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 19 (5), 152-162.
- Institute for Democracy and Economic Affairs (IDEAS). (2021). *Bridging social divides: The role of NGOs in Malaysia*. IDEAS Policy Paper. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IDEAS.
- Ismail, M., Abdul Rahman, A., & Yusof, A. (2019). Impact of microfinance on women entrepreneurs in Malaysia: A case study of Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Business and Economics*, 6(1), 33-45.
- Jamaludin, N., & Mohamad, R. (2019). Cultural initiatives and national unity: An evaluation of the 1Malaysia program. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(2), 67-80.
- Jazimin Zakaria, Ahmad Faiz Yaakob, & Hussain Yusri Zawawi. (2022). Social cohesion for community development in Malaysia: Impact to national integration. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 12(11), 1293-1305.
- Lim, C. (2019). Celebrating diversity in schools: A study of the racial harmony program in Malaysia. *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, 21(1), 55-72.
- Lin, N. (2001). *Social capital: A theory of social structure and action*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815447>
- Mansor Mohd Noor. (2021). Changing ethnic boundary, social differentiation and the Malaysian nation: Roots of Malaysian stability. Bangi: Penerbit UKM.
- Mohamed, R., & Ahmad, H. (2022). Collaborative governance in urban development: The Urban Community Development Programme in Malaysia. *International Journal of Urban Planning*, 15(3), 225-240.
- MyCare. (2021). *Community engagement during the pandemic*. MyCare Annual Review 2021. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: MyCare.
- Najib Ahmad Marzuki, et al. (2014). Community social capital in Malaysia: A pilot study. *Asian Social Science*, 10(12), 202-209.
- Ng, R. (2022). Unity in diversity: Analysing the impact of National Day celebrations on social cohesion in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences*, 9(2), 113-129.
- Othman, M., & Sharif, M. (2018). Interfaith dialogue as a tool for promoting social cohesion in Malaysia: The case of the Malaysian Interfaith Network. *Peace and Conflict Studies*, 25(2), 124-140. <https://doi.org/10.46743/1082-7307/2018.1430>
- Portes, A. (1998). Social capital: Its origins and applications in modern sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.1>
- Putnam, R. D. (1995). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1), 65-78. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1995.0002>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589160.3590058>
- Rahman, S. (2022). Integrating bridging social capital into education: A strategy for national unity in Malaysia. *Journal of Educational Policy and Practice*, 15(1), 123-139.
- Rahman, S., & Zainudin, N. (2021). Evaluating the effectiveness of Bantuan Prihatin Rakyat in supporting vulnerable communities in Malaysia. *Journal of Social Policy and Administration*, 55(4), 639-658. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josp.12454>

- Rogers Th A.J. Leenders. (2014). Social capital. In R. Alhaji & J. Rokne (Eds.), *Encyclopaedia of social network analysis and mining* (pp. 927-934). London: Springer.
- Shamsul A.B. (2001). A history of an identity, an identity of a history: The idea and practice of 'Malayness' in Malaysia reconsidered. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 32(3), 355-366.
- Shamsul Amri Baharuddin. (2023). Social cohesion in Malaysia: Agree to agree, agree to disagree. Public lecture McGrath Centre, St Catharine's College, 10 March 2023. Cambridge: University of Cambridge. <https://global-malaysia.com/2023/02/20/shamsul-a-b-in-cambridge/>
- Sharifah Nabilah Syed Salleh & Norma Mansor. (2022). Women and labour force participation in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 7(1), 1-9.
- Siti Zaharah Yahya & Maisarah Tajuddin. (2023). *Laporan kajian indeks Malaysia negara rahmah 2022*. Dengkil: Kajian Dasar IKRM Sdn Bhd.
- Tan, K. (2020). The role of NGOs in promoting social justice and access to services in Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Human Rights*, 12(1), 49-65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2157930X.2020.1755034>
- Tan, M. L. (2021). Community resilience in the face of COVID-19: The role of MyCare in Malaysia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 54, 102-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102114>
- Urban Community Development Programme (UCDP). (2019). *Progress report*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Ministry of Housing and Local Government Malaysia.
- Wong, C., & Wong, Y. (2021). Community networks and COVID-19 response in Malaysia. *Journal of Social Issues in Southeast Asia*, 36(1), 77-94. <https://doi.org/10.1355/jssi.2021.0105>
- Woolcock, M. (2001). The place of social capital in understanding social and economic outcomes. *Isuma: Canadian Journal of Policy Research*, 2(1), 1-17.
- Woolcock, M., & Narayan, D. (2000). Social capital: Implications for development theory, research, and policy. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 15(2), 225-249. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/15.2.225>
- Zainal, A. A. (2020). Challenges to effective linking social capital in Malaysia: An analysis of barriers and solutions. *Journal of Malaysian Studies*, 28(2), 104-120.
- Zainal, A. A., & Hasan, M. (2018). Stereotypes and social interaction: Barriers to bridging social capital in multicultural Malaysia. *Journal of Ethnic Studies*, 26(4), 234-250.
- Zainuddin, A., & Zainuddin, N. (2020). Cultural identity and community resilience among Malays: Insights from Sabah. *Asian Journal of Social Science*, 48(5), 552-570. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685314-04805005>
- Zaini Othman, Mohd Mahadee Ismail, & Eko Prayitno Joko. (2021). Managing COVID-19 in an era of information communication technology: The Malaysia experience. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(2), 1565-1579.