

## Examining the Presence (or Absence) of Social Intelligence in Job Interview Responses through Multimodal Social Semiotic Discourse Analysis

*Mimi Nahariah Azwani Mohamed\**

[azwani@uthm.edu.my](mailto:azwani@uthm.edu.my)

*Salleh Talib*

[salleh@uthm.edu.my](mailto:salleh@uthm.edu.my)

*Fazita Md Tab*

[fazita@uthm.edu.my](mailto:fazita@uthm.edu.my)

*Salawati Ahmad*

[salawati@uthm.edu.my](mailto:salawati@uthm.edu.my)

*Elizabeth M. Anthony*

[eliz@uthm.edu.my](mailto:eliz@uthm.edu.my)

*Azizi Basar*

[azizibasar@uthm.edu.my](mailto:azizibasar@uthm.edu.my)

*Shakina Shahlee*

[shakina@uthm.edu.my](mailto:shakina@uthm.edu.my)

*Centre for Language Studies  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia*

*Geeva Samynathan*

*ECTAA Sdn Bhd*

### ABSTRACT

In this IR 4.0 era, graduates with high level of social intelligence are in high demand. Those who can demonstrate having good attitude and social flexibility, able to build good relationships with others, and able to use appropriate language during their interviews have higher chances of being employed. However, reports show that graduates of higher learning institutions lack social intelligence. Failure to address this issue can affect future graduates' employability. This paper examined the extent to which students in higher learning institutions had developed social intelligence. The participants of this study were final year students of one technical university in Malaysia. These participants underwent a job interview session as one of their course assessments. The mock job interview sessions, which were conducted online, were recorded and transcribed. The data were first analysed using multimodal social semantic discourse analysis and later, the outcome of this analysis were mapped with one of the competencies of social intelligence, namely quality interpersonal relationship, and the underlying characteristics of interpersonal relationship which were empathy and respect to build trust. It was found that the participants lack social awareness and empathy to build relationships and develop trust with the interviewer were taken for granted. In addition, the participants' focused more on the qualification and skills that they have rather than how they could use their skills for the benefit of a company or organisation. The findings provided

invaluable input on ways in designing courses that promote the development of social intelligence among students of higher learning institutions.

**Keywords:** Social intelligence, social awareness, social semiotic, job interview, critical discourse analysis

## INTRODUCTION

In today's world, having social intelligence in the workplace is vital to increase productivity. Social intelligence helps leaders to easily manage employees to perform task, while employees feel motivated which in turn will increase productivity (Koraus et al., 2017). As such, employers no longer seek graduates with high academic achievement as this quality is no longer sufficient. Graduates who are high achievers are perceived as being good in their academic but are incompetent in the workplace (Ab Rahman, Mohamed, Nasir, & Saidin, 2020). Instead, they prefer graduates with high level of social intelligence (Lau, 2016). It is believed that graduates who have high level of social intelligence are able to build positive relationship with other people, able to perform well in difficult decision making situations, and can give full commitment in the workplace (Tamunosiki-Amadi, Sele, & Ernest, 2020). Employers have now improved their interview strategies so that they can look for characteristics of social intelligence in candidates (Nyfeler, December 7, 2020). This makes it more challenging and competitive for graduates to secure a job.

During the interview, interviewers rate candidates' facial expressions to determine the presence of social intelligence (Petrides, Mason, & Sevdalis, 2011). In a study conducted by Lau (2016), employers reported that they wasted 600 hours of their time per year interviewing candidates who seemed good in their resumes but lacked social intelligence. This highlights the importance of showcasing social intelligence during a job interview (Doyle, 2019).

Nonetheless, there are evidence that show fresh graduates in Malaysia are not able to illustrate social intelligence during their job interviews. They were not able to illustrate that they could work together in a team and were not able to be mindful to others, particularly to the interviewers (Ab Rahman et al., 2020). This inability reflects potential incompetence in the workplace which may cause candidates to lose the opportunity to be considered for the job.

Social intelligence is difficult to develop once graduates are in employment (Lau, 2016). Within this context, higher learning institutions play a vital role in developing social intelligence in their students. In preparing future graduates for employment, many higher learning institutions provide courses that help students to enhance their communication skills and teach them about job application. This includes helping them with their resumes and preparing them for job interviews. Questions arise in relation to the extent to which these courses have provided the space for the development and enhancement of social intelligence and to what extent students in higher learning institutions can illustrate social intelligence, particularly in their resumes and job interviews. In finding the answers to these questions, this study examined the presence of social intelligence in students' resumes and during their job interviews.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### SOCIAL INTELLIGENCE IN JOB INTERVIEWS

Employees who have high level of social intelligence have a high degree of social information processing, social skills and social awareness which helped them to succeed in their career (Meijs, Cillessen, Scholte, Segers, & Spijkerman, 2010). In her study, Lau (2016) reported that

employees with high level of social intelligence have higher chances of earning more than their colleagues and progress in their career because they have high level of confidence, can negotiate social situations and develop social relationships to increase productivity. Thus, examining candidates based on their social intelligence during a job interview is of high priority to recruiters.

The presence of social intelligence can be identified through interactions, either verbally or nonverbally. In terms of verbal interactions, there are a number of studies such as the one conducted by Onufrieva, Chaikovska, Kobets, Pavelkiv, and Melnychuk (2020) which examines the correlation between verbal expressions and social intelligence. However, the discussion on the words or phrases, particularly in the context job interview that illustrate the presence of social intelligence were not available in these studies.

In terms of behaviour, Albrecht (2006) proposed a spectrum between toxic (behaviour that makes people feel devalued, angry, frustrated, guilty or inadequate) to nourishing (behaviour that makes people feel valued, respected, encouraged or competent) effects. This means that people who demonstrate continuous toxic behaviour have low level of social intelligence and are not able to connect or influence other people effectively. Whereas people who demonstrate nourishing behaviour have high level of intelligence and are able to deal with others effectively.

Online job interview technique is becoming a trend, particularly during this pandemic. Nonetheless, assessing candidates' nonverbal cues may be challenging. In job interviews, nonverbal cues play important roles in determining, whether or not, a candidate will be considered for a job. The social intelligence of an applicant can be observed through his/her smile, gesture and talk time (Gifford, Ng, & Wilkinson, 1985). Rupasinghe, Gunawardena, Shujan, and Atukorale (2016) conducted a study on performing an online job interview. It was found that interviewers were able to evaluate candidates' expressions but there were some inconsistencies in relation to nonverbal cues. This raises questions about illustrating social intelligence through online job interview and to what extent recruiters can identify the presence of social intelligence based on online job interviews.

### **CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

This study first examined the data using multimodal social semiotic discourse analysis. The data included a) the virtual location which included the virtual background and the position of the respondents on the screen as viewed by the interviewer, b) the non-verbal resources which included the posture, facial expressions, attire, gestures, eye contact and voice projection, and c) the speech or the language used by the respondents.

Social semiotics is a study of material resources and how these resources are used for communication and expressions (Leeuwen & Kress, 2009). It focuses on how communicators use semiotic resources such as visuals and signs to represent meaning within a social context (Machin & Mayr, 2012). The use of social semiotic analysis provides understanding about "how representations are produced by and contribute to cultural settings, that is, to get at their social function and meaning potential in the communicative landscape" (Jewitt & Henriksen, 2016, p. 146). Social semiotics was further developed to multimodal social semiotics as there was a need to examine multimodal communication (Kress, 2010).

Several studies have used critical discourse analysis to examine a phenomenon from linguistics perspectives (Al-Mwzaiji, 2021; Mustafa & Pilus, 2020). Al-Ghamdi and Albawardi (2020) employed social semiotic approach to examine text and images on social media. van Leeuwen and Kress (2009) developed a multimodal framework which defined semiotic resources and three layers of analysis which include discourse, design and production. Semiotic resources include physiological and technical resources. Physiological resources refer to voice

to produce sounds and speeches and muscles to produce non-verbal resources such as facial expressions, gestures and postures. Technical resources range from using devices or technology to extend communication, to the way we groom ourselves. In the context of this study, the physiological resources included voice projection, non-verbal resources, and virtual background on Google Meet. The technical resources included the participants' attire, grooming and the speech (language) produced during the interaction. Upon the completion of the multimodal social semiotic analysis, the results were mapped against the conceptual framework of the social intelligence.

The concept of social intelligence originated from Thorndike (1920) who defined it as the ability to understand and manage others and engage in social interactions wisely. Further elaboration of social intelligence included the ability to get along with others, interact with his or her social environment and understand social situations (Vernon, 1933; Wechsler, 1939). In simple terms, social intelligence refers to individual's knowledge about the social world (Kihlstrom & Cantor, 2000).

Mykhalchuk (2018) argued that social intelligence can be identified through ways in which an individual is able to manage and take control of his or her emotions, show empathy and analyse the behaviour of others. In other words, an individual with high level of social intelligence is able to anticipate the consequences of his or her actions (linguistically or non-linguistically) on others and control his or her emotions. In the case of this study, social intelligence was identified through ways in which the students reacted and responded to the interview questions.

Albrecht's (2006) proposes five dimensions to examine the presence (or absence) of social intelligence. These dimensions include:

- a) situational awareness which refers to the ability to read situations and to interpret the behaviours of people in those situations,
- b) presence which refers to verbal and non-verbal patterns (appearance, posture, voice quality and subtle movements),
- c) authenticity which refers to the signals that we give through our behaviour that led other people to judge us as honest, open, ethical, trustworthy and well-intentioned,
- d) clarity refers to the ability to explain ourselves, provides ideas, deliver data clearly and accurately and articulate our views and proposed courses of action that enables us to get others to cooperate with us, and
- e) empathy refers to the state of connectedness with another person which created the basis for positive interaction and cooperation.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This study employed qualitative approach to data collection and analysis using phenomenological method. The use of qualitative approach helps to provide deeper understanding about the interactions of the participants who are final year students, particularly in terms of the presence of social intelligence. A total of 3 participants were recruited for this study. The study served as part of a larger research and four participants were sufficient to provide preliminary findings.

## **DATA SOURCES**

The final year students at this university were required to enrol in an English course that included mock job interview as part of its assessments. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, all classes were conducted online. Thus, the assessment on job interview had to be conducted

online as well. The job interview session was conducted using Google Meet as the platform. The interviewer was the lecturer who taught the course to the students. During the interview, the students were reminded to imagine that they were being interviewed by a recruiter, not their lecturer. In the context of this study, the term “interviewer” will be used to represent the lecturer who did the job interview assessment.

Prior to the assessment session, students had the opportunity to discuss common questions in job interviews and how to address these questions with their lecturer and their peers. They even had the opportunity to watch videos containing examples of how to respond to job interviews. As such they may have prepared a script for them to refer to during the mock job interview sessions. This should not be an issue as the main purpose of this study was to examine their responses (scripted or non-scripted) in terms of the presence of social intelligence, verbally and non-verbally.

Three students were randomly selected as the respondents for this study. Their interview sessions were video recorded through Google Meet to record their verbal and non-verbal patterns. In addition, In the context of this study, the verbal and non-verbal patterns refer to Albrecht’s (2006) dimension of social intelligence, *Presence*. Upon the completion of the mock job interview sessions, the researchers transcribed the responses and made notes on the respondents’ non-verbal patterns.

During the sessions, the respondents were asked a number of job interview questions. In this paper, the researchers discussed the responses based on two questions which are, “Why are you interested to work at this company?” and “Give an example of how you work in a team”.

## **DATA ANALYSIS**

This study employed the social semiotic approach to multimodal critical discourse analysis to examine the verbal and non-verbal patterns on video recorded interviews. Multimodal social semiotic analysis is relevant for this purpose as it examines, not only the language use, but also the non-verbal cues (Machin & Mayr, 2012). The non-verbal resources are divided into physiological (e.g: facial expression, gestures, voice, posture) and technical (e.g: images, musical instruments, clothing, grooming) (van Leeuwen & Kress, 2009). In analysing the interview data, this study adopted van Leeuwen and Kress’ (2009) three layers of analysis. These layers include:

- a) discourses which refer to the socially constructed knowledge for constructing and interpreting the content of texts and communicative events. Within this context, the language used is dependent on the context or setting.
- b) design which refers to the format for embedding the discourses in specific social context and creates the purpose of the communicative events.
- c) production which refers to the way the materials are used to execute the design.

In the context of this study, these three layers were not discussed separately, but rather as a whole as it was difficult to isolate one from another in reality (van Leeuwen & Kress, 2009). The results of the social semiotic analysis were mapped against the dimensions of the social intelligence. In relation to social intelligence, Albrecht (2006) proposes five dimensions of social intelligence which are situational awareness, presence, authenticity, clarity, and empathy. These five dimensions lead to building social relationship and trust in the interviewer.

## **FINDINGS**

The three respondents were given a pseudonym Zam, Justin and Barry. Their level of proficiency in English ranged from intermediate (Zam) to upper intermediate (Justin and Barry). All the respondents answered the questions spontaneously to the interview questions without any pre-prepared script. This study presented the transcriptions that addressed the question “Why are you interested to work at this company?”

The analysis of the semiotic discourse is discussed according to van Leeuwen and Kress’ (2009) the three layers of analysis which include discourse, design and production. The analysis of the social intelligence is discussed according to Albrecht’s (2006) five dimensions of social intelligence. The key concept of the analysis is to determine ways in which the respondents have built connections with the interviewer to anticipate the interviewer’s expectations to enable them to provide appropriate response or provide responses according to the interviewers’ expectations and illustrate how they can work well with others in the workplace and create a harmonious workplace environment.

In this study, the discourse is promoting oneself to be hired. To address this discourse, the lexical choices and the sentences should reflect informative followed by persuasive language (design). In other words, the respondents should be able to provide information about their skills and values and demonstrate how these could benefit the selected company.

At some point, when responding to questions such as “Why should we hire you?” the design could include the sentence structure of argumentative. In the context of this study, the prominent sentence structure would be informative and persuasive. Persuasive language can be achieved through the use of persuasive techniques. This includes the use of flattery, expressing opinion, hyperbole, statistics and figures and personal pronouns.

#### THE VIRTUAL LOCATION

The job interview was conducted online using Google Meet and the respondents understood that the assessment would be virtual, requiring them to set up a virtual setting. The fact that they were aware that the job interview was only one of the assessments for a course, and that the interviewer was their lecturer, may have influenced the way they set up their virtual location. The figures below show the virtual setting set up by the respondents.



**Figure 1: Justin’s virtual setting**



**Figure 2: Zam’s virtual setting**



Justin, on the other hand, did not use the virtual background from the options. He may have perceived that the options did not depict the professional representation. Instead, he uploaded his own virtual background that could create the professional setting. The lighting, the choice of colour of the background, topped with the colour of his shirts created a dim environment that may not support the professional representation.

Based on the above images, all the respondents were positioned in the centre of the screen. The bottom edge of the screen was just below their shoulders, making it difficult to see their whole attire. The normative discourse in job interview representation requires full body view of a candidate because attire is part of the evaluation. Within the context of online interview, this is not appropriate. While positioning the camera further away from the candidate may help interviewers to access a candidate's overall appearance, this may also affect their assessment on other nonverbal resources such as facial expressions.

### PHYSIOLOGICAL AND TECHNICAL RESOURCES

In a job interview, the representation of professionalism and persuasion are supported by other semiotic resources such as the nonverbal resources, attire and grooming. The nonverbal resources include facial expressions, gestures, postures, eye contact, voice and body movement.

The representation of professionalism in a job interview includes wearing formal attires. Based on the expert normative discourse, male candidates are expected to wear a pair of long-sleeved light coloured shirts, with long pants and possibly a tie. Justin's representation of professional attire was slightly varied from the expert normative discourse. He wore a pair of collared T-shirts which he may consider as professional and persuasive. His hair was neat. His facial expression was flat and did not reveal emotion throughout the session. While talking, he kept looking up to the ceiling and down (but not directly to the web camera) almost every second. In addition, his voice lacked expression and his intonation sounded flat.

Zam was well-groomed. He wore white long-sleeved shirt with a tie. However, his hair did not seem neat. He seemed to be leaning to his left and his left shoulder dropped. Apart from that, he did not look at the web camera. Similar to Justin, his face lacked expression. In addition, his intonation was flat, and his voice was soft, making it difficult to anyone listening to concentrate on his response.

In some ways, Barry's attire addressed fulfilled the expert normative discourse. His shirts were short-sleeved and the colour was red. The choice of colour may have been influenced by the belief that red brings good luck. In terms of his posture, his shoulders were symmetrically positioned, and he used hand gestures during his interaction. His facial expression was good and there were varieties in his intonation. His voice was clear, and he articulated his information clearly. From time to time, he could be seen smiling.

### THE SPEECH

*Uh...The reason why I am passionate about this job is that I have always dream to work in a designing of civil and structural things in terms of building wise. I do enjoy doing some plans and organisation in that, in that type of career, career that I have selected. And also, the reason why that I chose AJ is that, because I heard that AJ is a very fast paced environ, fast paced environment where I could learn more and gain more knowledge in my expertise that I am very interested and passionate about. That's all for me, thank you. [Excerpt 1: Justin]*

As discussed in the previous section, the discourse for this communicative event is fresh graduate's employment. The normative discourses in relation to speech include using persuasive language that could promote one's abilities and illustrate confidence in the speech. In his transcript, Justin explained about his passion related to the field he had chosen. The sentences were structured as giving information about his passion in designing structures and his knowledge about the company. His responses lacked the persuasion needed to achieve the discourse. He attempted to be persuasive with phrases such as *I am passionate about* and *I have always dream to work as*. However, this effort was not supported by appropriate intonation in his voice and his facial expression displayed lack of emotion to persuade the interviewer to hire him.

Justin had not directly presented the strengths of the company that had attracted him to apply for a job at this company. His idea of *fast-paced environment* was unclear and had not really addressed the question. He focused on what he could gain by working at this company with his statement *I could learn more and gain more knowledge in my expertise* instead of how his knowledge and skills could benefit the company. In addition, the use of *I heard* signalled that his knowledge about the company was a hearsay and not based on facts. This further highlighted that he lacked the element of persuasion in his response, thus had not achieved the discourse of promoting oneself to be hired.

Throughout the interview session, his eyes kept moving from looking at the ceiling to the monitor almost every second, indicating the possibility of trying to remember a memorised script. His intonation sounded like a person reading a script and lacked expression. These description does not seem to represent confidence or persuasion.

*Ok, I'm passionate for, for filling this uhm, uh, (pause) slot in your company because I want to gain as many experience[s] as possible during my uh time working with you. Then uh (pause) as my plan is I want to be a safety officer in which, for the company uh for the department that I am applying for which is the quality and safety department. So, along that time uh, which is around 3 years in the occupational safety and health department of experience needed, so along that time I will gain as many experience[s] as possible in this company and grow as an individual (pause) to become a better version of myself (pause) and perhaps this company also. [Excerpt 2: Zam]*

The sentence structure in Zam's production was mainly informative and lacked persuasion. He stated that his intention of working at the company was *to gain as many experience[s] as possible*. This production, which is common among the final year students suggests the social understanding that the first job is always about gaining knowledge and experience to help them establish their foundation before leaping to a better opportunity. This indicates that they plan to move to other companies once they have achieved their goal. This idea is further illustrated in Barry's production which is discussed later in this section.

Zam kept mentioning the word *safety* such as in *I want to be a safety officer, which is the quality and safety department, and 3 years in the occupational safety and health department*. Within the context of the sentence structure, it could be concluded that he is enthusiastic about the job as a safety officer. However, his voice (intonation) and his facial expression were not consistent with his enthusiasm.

There are a lot of "hm" and pauses in Zam's production such as *I'm passionate for, for filling this uhm, uh, (pause) slot in your company*. The production of *uhm* or *uh* which serves as a filler in oral production is common even among native speakers. Fillers give the opportunity for listeners to process the information delivered and a speaker may seem less trustworthy if fillers are eliminated (Conrad et al., 2013). Within the social context of second

language setting, the rigorous use of *uh* or *uhm* may suggest that Zam has limited proficiency of English. This rigorous use also reflects uncertainty of Zam's intention. This is supported by his soft monotonous voice, indicating that he was not confident with what he was saying.

The use of *slot* (an informal word but used in a formal context) as opposed to *vacancy* or *(job) opening* may seem unprofessional. This create a casual environment which may or may not be acceptable depending on the social environment of the company involved. Further investigation is required to examine how recruiters perceive the use of casual words such as *slot* in a formal setting such as in a job interview.

The background selected represents a fictional theme. The occupation that Zam was applying for was safety officer, and therefore, the background did not seem to reflect his seriousness or enthusiasm about being a safety officer. In terms of his posture, his left shoulder dropped, and he seemed to be leaning to his left. This could represent the degree of his seriousness in the interview whereby he may not be fond of attending the interview.

*Ok, so I have always been passionate about aaa...pursuing a career in a mechanical and manufacturing section especially in engineering part, engineering field of the study. So, it has been a long life passion for me and it was pretty much a no brainer for me to select your company PTS. So, from what that I read from the advertisement, you company take on odd jobs and...a lot of aaa...a lot of it has to be related to the mechanical engineering and the electrical engineering part of the field. So, I would like to apply my trade my expertise in designing in programming and in final element analysis and I want to at least, aaa within your company I want to at least gain working experience so that I can actually apply for the professional engineering license trade...uh...license. So, once I get that professional engineering license then I would be aa people the higher (indecipherable) will actually aaa approve me as a proper and professional engineer. So, I would like to work under your company as a steppingstone to my aa to fulfil my potential as a mechanical engineer. [Excerpt 3: Barry]*

In Barry's production, he used the informative structure and, to a certain extent, persuasive. The persuasive structure is *So, it has been a long life passion for me and it was pretty much a no brainer for me to select your company*. This is a form of flattery and opinion. This structure was supported by his intonation, facial expression and hand gestures which could help build a connection with his interviewer. However, his production *gain working experience* and *work under your company as a steppingstone to my aa to fulfil my potential as a mechanical engineer* support the practice discussed in Zam's production where the first job is not where graduates would like to stay with for the rest of their career.

The word *proper* in *approve me as a proper and professional engineer* signalled that Barry would not be able to perform duties as an engineer at the company as he had not obtained his license. In Malaysia (along with other participating countries), to become an international professional engineer, one needs to obtain the Washington Accord accreditation.

The use of the first person pronoun "I" is categorised as persuasive. However, in this case "I" had not shown how "I" can contribute to the company apart from obtaining the professional license for his own benefit. In addition, Barry's speech was supported by other semiotic resources such as his facial expression, varied intonation, clear voice, clear articulation and smiles. The semiotic resources that supported Barry's speech are features that could catch the attention of the listeners and are effective for persuasion (Anderson, 1991; Leigh & Summers, 2002).

## DISCUSSION

Based on the analysis on the production, and the non-verbal resources, it could be concluded that Justin had not build a connection with his interviewer. He lacked situational awareness where he could not interpret how his interviewer would perceive about him with the way he responded to the question. He was not able to connect with his interviewer to engage the interviewer to know more about him. His facial expression was flat and there was not even a smile (nor a sour face). This could signal to the interviewer that he was not enthusiastic about the interview as well as the position he was applying for. His response lacked clarity where he was not able to express clearly why he was interested in working at the company.

Zam may not be aware that his posture, facial expression and intonation could send negative messages to the interviewer. The non-verbal cues may not be of his priority. He was more concerned about getting his explanation through. In other words, he lacked the effort to get connected with the interviewer. To him what he said was more important than how he said it. He was not aware of what the interviewer may have perceived with such performance. This indicates that he lacked situational awareness.

The intention of gaining *as many experience[s] as possible* signalled to the interviewer that there is a chance that he will move to another better job when he had the opportunity. This could lead the interviewer to question his trustworthiness and judge his loyalty to the company. Zam illustrated that getting a job was about what he could gain instead of what he could offer and contribute to the company.

On top of that, the choice of the background also indicated that Zam lacked situational awareness. Similar to the non-verbal cues, he did not realise the importance of choosing an appropriate background. This could also affect the interviewer's trustworthiness towards him. Zam's answer was also lack of clarity. He was not able to persuade the interviewer with knowledge about the company. In other words, he had not addressed the question sufficiently.

Barry may have built the connection with the interviewer with *So, it has been a long life passion for me and it was pretty much a no brainer for me to select your company*. However, his idea of the company being the *steppingstone* for him to *gain working experience so that I can actually apply for the professional engineering license* may have led to a disconnection. This raises concerns about loyalty and trustworthy. In this context, Barry lacked the situational awareness and authenticity. He did not perceive the consequences of his production as he was focused on his abilities and his goal.

## CONCLUSION

In this competitive world, human resource managers and recruiters are very careful in hiring new recruits to avoid wasting time having to conduct interviews for the same post or having new employees who are not able to perform as claimed during the interview. Most importantly, employers would prefer hiring employees who could work well with other colleagues to increase productivity. As such, interview sessions would be challenging especially to fresh graduates.

Equipping future graduates with the ability to communicate is insufficient if they are not able to develop trust and create positive interaction with the person they are communicating. Higher learning institutions need to help them develop social intelligence so that they are not only well equipped with the technical and relevant soft skills, but also the skills to work and communicate effectively in the workplace.

This study has highlighted that the respondents lacked social intelligence in their communication. There are several key concerns with regard to preparing future graduates for their job interviews. First, the respondents were concerned focused on the benefit that they get out of the recruitment instead of how they can benefit the company. Justin used the sentence *I could learn more and gain more knowledge in my expertise* and Zam used the structure *I want to gain as many experience[s] as possible*. Barry clearly mentioned that treated his first job as *a steppingstone to gain working experience* so that he could *apply for the professional engineering license* to achieve his goal as a professional mechanical engineering. This could disconnect recruiters or hiring manager from considering him for the post, regardless of whether or not he had fulfilled the requirements and could express hid ideas well.

Second, the respondents focused on providing information about the skills that they have instead of persuading the interviewer how their skills could benefit the company. Barry, for example mentioned that he wanted to use *my expertise in designing in programming and in final element analysis*, indicating that he had the skills that the company required. However, he did not provide any example on how he would use his expertise for the benefit of the company.

Third, the respondents were not concerned about connecting with their interviews during the interview session. In their discourse, they focused on providing information about themselves with the expectation that the interviewer would agree on what they have described. Effective communication is a two-way process which where the speaker needs to get the trust of the listener.

This study has employed social semiotic approach to multimodal critical discourse analysis where meaning was constructed through language and non-verbal resources which included physiological and technical resources. The analysis has helped to highlight the lexical choices that were later mapped against the dimensions of social intelligence to determine its presence (or absence). The results showed that the respondents lacked social intelligence in their interaction, particularly in terms of the non-verbal resources. Facial expression such as a smile is not just about creating a pleasant and friendly situation. There is evidence that facial expression also promotes trustworthiness (Morioko, Osumi, Okamoto, & Matsuo, 2015), which is an important feature in social intelligence (Bayer, 2016). Although these results raise concerns regarding students' way of interacting with others, the issue on non-verbal resources warrants further investigation. Interacting through an online platform may have limited the respondents from performing well.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research is supported by Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia through TIER 1 (Vot H984)

### REFERENCES

- Ab Rahman, F., Mohamed, A. H., Nasir, N. S. A., & Saidin, K. (2020). Exploring perceptions of employers on communication skills among fresh graduates. *Practitioner Research, 1*, 69-85.
- Albrecht, K. (2006). *Social Intelligence: The New Science of Success*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Al-Ghamdi, N. A., & Albawardi, A. H. (2020). Multivocality of saudi COVID-19 discourse in social media posts: A socio-semiotic multimodal perspective. *GEMA Online® Journal of Language Studies, 20*(4), 228-250.

- Al-Mwzaiji, K. N. A. (2021). The Political Spin of Conviction: A Critical Discourse Analysis of the Origin of Covid-19. *GEMA Online® Journal of Language Studies*, 21(1).
- Anderson, N. R. (1991). Decision making in the graduate selection interview: An experimental investigation. *Human Relations*, 44, 403-417.
- Azmi, A. N., Kamin, Y., Noordin, M. K., & Nasir, A. N. M. (2018). Towards industrial revolution 4.0: employers' expectations on fresh engineering graduates. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.28), 267-272.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497-529.
- Bayer, L. (2016). *The 30% Solution: How Civility at Work Increases Retention, Engagement and Profitability*. Florida, USA: Motivational Press Inc.
- Capello, M. A., & Shaughnessy, A. (2020). The why, what, and how of virtual meetings: Our toolkit. *The Leading Edge*, 39(8), 598-599.
- Conrad, F. G., Broome, J. S., Benki, J. R., Kreuter, F., Groves, R. M., Vannette, D., & McClain, C. (2013). Interviewer speech and the success of survey invitations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 176, 191-210.
- Dixon, J., Belnap, C., Albrecht, C., & Lee, K. (2010). The importance of soft skills. *Corporate finance review*, 14(6), 35.
- Doyle, A. (2019). How to answer interview questions about working with others. *The Balance Careers*, 2021. Retrieved from <https://www.thebalancecareers.com/job-interview-question-do-you-work-well-with-other-people-2061155>
- Gifford, R., Ng, C. F., & Wilkinson, M. (1985). Nonverbal cues in the employment interview: Links between applicant qualities and interviewer judgement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70(4), 729-736.
- Goleman, D. (2006). *Social Intelligence: The New Science of Human Relationships*. London: Arrow Books.
- Jewitt, C., & Henriksen, B. (2016). Handbuch Sprache im multimodalen Kontext. In K. Nina-Maria & S. Hartmut (Eds.), *Social Semiotic Multimodality*: (pp. 145-164). Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter.
- Kihlstrom, J. F., & Cantor, N. (2000). Social intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), *Handbook of Intelligence* (pp. 359-379). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Korauš, A., Kašćáková, Z., Parová, V., & Veselovská, S. (2017). Sustainable economic development through human resource management: Social intelligence of managers and performance. *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, 6(3), 457-478.
- Kress, G. (2010). *Multimodality: A Social Semiotic Approach to Contemporary Communication*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Lau, J. (2016). *Social intelligence and the next generation*. London: National Service Citizen.
- Leigh, T. W., & Summers, J. (2002). Effects of salespersons' use of nonverbal cues. *Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*, 22(1), 41-53.
- Machin, D., & Mayr, A. (2012). *How to do Critical Discourse Analysis: A multimodal Introduction*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Meijs, N., Cillessen, A. H. N., Scholte, R. H. J., Segers, E., & Spijkerman, R. (2010). Social intelligence and academic achievement as predictors of adolescent popularity. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 39(1), 62-72.
- Morioko, S., Osumi, M., Okamoto, M., & Matsuo, A. (2015). Effects of facial expression and language on trustworthiness and barin activities. *International Journal of Brain Science*, 1-7.

- Mustafa, M., & Pilus, Z. (2020). # WelcomeRefugees: A Critical Discourse Analysis of the Refugee Resettlement Initiative in Canadian News. *GEMA Online® Journal of Language Studies*, 20(4).
- Mykhalchuk, N. (2018). Psycholinguistic features of the development of social intelligence of the teacher. *Psycholinguistics*, 23(1), 242-257.
- Nyfelner, L. F. (December 7, 2020). How to apply social intelligence to candidate interviews. Retrieved from <https://www.indeed.com/lead/social-intelligence-in-interviewing>
- Onufriieva, L., Chaikovska, O., Kobets, O., Pavelkiv, R., & Melnychuk, T. (2020). Social Intelligence as a Factor of Volunteer Activities by Future Medical Workers. *Journal of History Culture and Art Research*, 9(1), 84-95.
- Petrides, K. V., Mason, M., & Sevdalis, N. (2011). Preliminary validation of the construct of trait social intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(6), 874-877. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.12.029>
- Rupasinghe, A. T., Gunawardena, N. L., Shujan, S., & Atukorale, D. (2016). *Scaling personality traits of interviewees in an online job interview by vocal spectrum and facial cue analysis*. Paper presented at the 2016 Sixteenth International Conference on Advances in ICT for Emerging Regions (ICTer).
- Salina, D., Nurazariah, A., Noraina Mazuin, S., & Jegatheesan, R. (2011). Enhancing university business curriculum using importance-performance approach: A case study of Business Management Faculty of a university in Malaysia. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 25(6), 1-21.
- Tamunosiki-Amadi, J. O., Sele, G. D., & Ernest, O. E. (2020). Social Intelligence and Employee Commitment in Bayelsa State Health Sector. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 11(2).
- Thorndike, E. L. (1920). Intelligence and its use. *Harper's Magazine*, 140, 227-235.
- van Leeuwen, T., & Kress, G. (2009). Discourse semiotics. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse Studies: A Multidisciplinary Introduction* (pp. 107-125). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Vernon, P. E. (1933). Some characteristics of the good judge of personality. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 4, 42-57.
- Wechsler, D. (1939). *The Measurement and Appraisal of Adult Intelligence*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.